arXiv:1301.5869v1 [math.AT] 24 Jan 2013

FIXED POINT ADJUNCTIONS FOR EQUIVARIANT MODULE SPECTRA

J. P. C. GREENLEES AND B. SHIPLEY

Abstract. We consider the Quillen adjunction between fixed points and inflation in the context of equivariant module spectra over equivariant ring spectra, and give numerous examples including some based on geometric fixed points and some on the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The cellularlization principle 3
3. Universal spaces. 3
4. Models of spectra over N 6
5. Fixed point adjunctions for module categories 8
6. Eilenberg-MacLane spectra 12
7. The sphere spectrum 13
8. Models of categories of modules over N 14
9. Eilenberg-Moore examples 15
10. The ring spectrum $DE\mathcal{F}_+$ 16
References 17

1. Introduction

1.A. **Context.** Whenever group actions are under consideration there is a valuable adjunction between passage to fixed points under a normal subgroup and inflation from the quotient group. In more detail, we are given a group G and a normal subgroup N; passage to N-fixed points gives a functor from G-equivariant objects Y to G/N-equivariant objects Y^N, and inflation allows us to view G/N-equivariant objects X as G-equivariant objects $\mathrm{inf}_{G/N}^{G} X$ by pullback along the projection $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/N$. We then often have an adjunction of the form

$$G\text{-Hom}(\mathrm{inf}_{G/N}^{G} X, Y) \cong G/N\text{-Hom}(X, Y^N)$$

where X has an action of G/N and Y has an action of G. We will take G to be a compact Lie group and N to be a closed normal subgroup, but for simplicity we consider the case of finite groups for this introductory discussion.

The first author is grateful for support under EPSRC grant number EP/H040692/1. This material is based upon work by the second author supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1104396.

More precisely, we suppose given an ambient category $\mathbb{C}$, and categories G-$\mathbb{C}$ of G-equivariant objects of $\mathbb{C}$. In some cases a G-equivariant object will just be an object of $\mathbb{C}$ with an action of G through $\mathbb{C}$-maps, but not always. The first examples are of this type. First, if $\mathbb{C}$ consists of vector spaces we obtain an adjunction in representation theory, and this clearly extends to graded vector spaces, and to graded vector spaces with a differential (chain complexes). Second, if $\mathbb{C}$ consists of topological spaces this adjunction is an elementary statement in equivariant topology. The next step is to seek to show this adjunction is compatible with some appropriate model structures, in the sense that we obtain a Quillen adjunction, and we then obtain a corresponding adjunction with the ambient categories being the homotopy categories of G-$\mathbb{C}$ and G/N-$\mathbb{C}$; already this gives a situation where the homotopy category of G-equivariant objects is not equivalent to the G-equivariant objects in the homotopy category; $\mathrm{Ho}(G-\mathbb{C}) \not\simeq G-\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbb{C})$.

The next well known case is when $\mathbb{C}$ is some category of spectra, where we have the well-known Lewis-May adjunction [18]

$$(\cdot)^N : G\text{-spectra} \leftrightarrows G/N\text{-spectra} : \mathrm{inf}_{G/N}^G$$

This is a continuous adjunction and a Quillen pair.

The principal purpose of the present paper is to consider a variation of this adjunction in which $\mathbb{C}$ consists of module spectra. For definiteness we use the category of equivariant orthogonal spectra [20] as our model of G-spectra.

1.B. **The case at hand.** The Lewis-May fixed categorical point functor is lax monoidal, so that if R is a ring G-spectrum, R^N is a ring G/N-spectrum. The purpose of this paper is to establish a close relationship between the category of R-module G-spectra and the category of R^N-module G/N-spectra. More precisely, we consider a Quillen adjunction

$$(\cdot)^N : R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \leftrightarrows R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra} : R \otimes_{R^N} (\cdot)$$

(here and elsewhere we follow Quillen in putting the left adjoint arrow on top when describing adjunctions). This is particularly interesting in conjunction with the Cellularization Principle (Lemma 2.1, see [14]), which produces examples where this adjunction induces a Quillen equivalence. In the language of the introduction, $\mathbb{C}$ is the category of spectra, G-$\mathbb{C}$ consists of R-module-G-spectra and G/N-$\mathbb{C}$ consistes of R^N-module-G/N-spectra.

It turns out that this is rather a rich context, and we make explicit a number of interesting examples, including spectra and modules concentrated over N (giving the geometric fixed point equivalence) in Sections 4 and 8, Eilenberg-MacLane spectra in Section 6, sphere spectra in Section 7 and cochains on a free G-space (giving the Eilenberg-Moore theorem) in Section 9.

1.C. **Relationship to other results.** These results were originally presented as part of our equivalence between rational torus-equivariant spectra and an algebraic model in [12]. However the present results apply in many other interesting cases, which are not rational and where the ambient group is not a torus, so it seemed worth separating them out. Our original applications are given in [15] and [13], but there are many others.

2. THE CELLULARLIZATION PRINCIPLE

We repeatedly use the Cellularization Principle of [14]. The idea is that a Quillen adjunction induces a Quillen equivalence between cellularized stable model categories in the sense of [17] provided it is an equivalence on cells and hence a homotopy category level equivalence between the respective localizing subcategories. These mild hypotheses may make it appear like a tautology, but this principle has been useful in many different settings. This is analogous to the statement that a natural transformation of cohomology theories that induces an isomorphism on spheres is an equivalence.

Throughout this paper we consider stable cellularizations of stable model categories. Say that a set $\mathcal{K}$ is *stable* if for any $A \in \mathcal{K}$ all of its suspensions (positive and negative) are also in $\mathcal{K}$ up to weak equivalence. That is, since the cellularization only depends on the homotopy type of elements in $\mathcal{K}$, if $A \in \mathcal{K}$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are objects $B_i \in \mathcal{K}$ with $B_i \simeq \Sigma^i A$. In this case, for $\mathbb{M}$ a stable model category and $\mathcal{K}$ a stable set of objects, $\mathcal{K}$-cell-$\mathbb{M}$ is again a stable model category; see [1, 4.6].

Lemma 2.1. [14] *Let $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ be right proper, stable, cellular model categories with $F : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{N}$ a Quillen adjunction with right adjoint U. Let $\underline{F}$ and $\underline{U}$ denote the associated derived functors.*

(1) *Let $\mathcal{K} = \{A_\alpha\}$ be a stable set of compact objects in $\mathbb{M}$, with $\underline{F}\mathcal{K} = \{\underline{F}A_\alpha\}$ the corresponding set of objects in $\mathbb{N}$. If each $A_\alpha \to \underline{U}\underline{F}A_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence, then F and U induce a Quillen equivalence between the $\mathcal{K}$-cellularization of $\mathbb{M}$ and the $\underline{F}\mathcal{K}$-cellularization of $\mathbb{N}$:*

$$\mathcal{K}\text{-}cell\text{-}\mathbb{M} \simeq_Q \underline{F}\mathcal{K}\text{-}cell\text{-}\mathbb{N}$$

(2) *Let $\mathcal{J} = \{B_\beta\}$ be a stable set of compact objects in $\mathbb{N}$, with $\underline{U}\mathcal{J} = \{\underline{U}B_\beta\}$ the corresponding set of objects in $\mathbb{N}$. If each $\underline{F}\underline{U}B_\beta \to B_\beta$ is a weak equivalence, Then F and U induce a Quillen equivalence between the $\mathcal{J}$-cellularization of $\mathbb{N}$ and the $\underline{U}\mathcal{J}$-cellularization of $\mathbb{M}$:*

$$\underline{U}\mathcal{J}\text{-}cell\text{-}\mathbb{M} \simeq_Q \mathcal{J}\text{-}cell\text{-}\mathbb{N}$$

Example 2.2. As an example, we may consider a map $\theta : R \longrightarrow R'$ of ring G-spectra. This induces functors θ^* restricting scalars and $\theta_* M = R' \otimes_R M$ extending scalars, and these give a Quillen adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} \theta_* : R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \arrow[r, shift left] & R'\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} : \theta^* \arrow[l, shift left] \end{tikzcd} .$$

The unit is $M \longrightarrow R' \otimes_R M = \theta^*\theta_* M$, and for an extended module $M = R \wedge X$ this is simply $\theta \wedge 1 : R \wedge X \longrightarrow R' \wedge X$. Now suppose that θ is an $\mathcal{F}$-equivalence for some family $\mathcal{F}$ of subgroups. Since the generating $\mathcal{F}$-cells for R-modules are the extended cells $R \wedge G/H_+$ for $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and similarly for R'-modules, it follows from the Cellularization Principle that we have a Quillen equivalence

$$\mathcal{F}\text{-cell-}R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq \mathcal{F}\text{-cell-}R'\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra}.$$

3. UNIVERSAL SPACES.

If $\mathcal{K}$ is a family of subgroups (i.e., a set of subgroups closed under conjugation and passage to smaller subgroups), there is a universal space $E\mathcal{K}$, characterized up to equivalence by the fact that $(E\mathcal{K})^H$ is empty if $H \notin \mathcal{K}$ and is contractible if $H \in \mathcal{K}$. We write $\widetilde{E}\mathcal{K}$ for the unreduced suspension $S^0 * E\mathcal{K}$, so that there is a cofibre sequence

$$E\mathcal{K}_+ \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}\mathcal{K}.$$

It is sometimes convenient to use specific models for these universal spaces. For example, if V is an orthogonal representation, we may write $S(V)$ for the unit sphere and S^V for the one-point compactification of V. We then find

$$E\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} S(kV) = S(\infty V) \text{ and } \widetilde{E}\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} S^{kV} = S^{\infty V}$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \{H \mid V^H \neq 0\}$.

Finally, for normal subgroups N we define certain spaces $E\langle N\rangle$ by the cofibre sequence

$$E[\subset N]_+ \longrightarrow E[\subseteq N]_+ \longrightarrow E\langle N\rangle.$$

We note that in fact $E\langle N\rangle \simeq EG/N_+ \wedge \widetilde{E}[\not\supseteq N]$.

3.A. **A convenient shorthand.** Recall that the geometric fixed point functor extends the fixed point space functor in the sense that for based G-spaces Y there is a G/N-equivalence $\Phi^N\Sigma^\infty Y \simeq \Sigma^\infty(Y^N)$. The isotropy groups of a based G-space are the subgroups so that the fixed points are non-trivial, so it is natural to consider a stable, homotopy invariant version: the *geometric isotropy* of a G-spectrum X is defined by

$$\mathcal{GI}(X) := \{K \mid \Phi^K X \not\simeq *\}.$$

Certain G-spaces are useful in picking out isotropy information. The role of $\mathcal{F}$ in $E\mathcal{F}_+$ and $\widetilde{E}\mathcal{F}$ is complementary: the geometric isotropy of $E\mathcal{F}_+$ is $\mathcal{F}$ and the geometric isotropy of $\widetilde{E}\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{F}^c$, the complement of $\mathcal{F}$. It will help clarify arguments if we make the connection with geometric isotropy direct (rather than complementary) in all cases: for certain collections $\mathcal{N}$ of subgroups we will define a space or spectrum $X[\mathcal{N}]$ which captures the part of X with geometric isotropy in $\mathcal{N}$.

We are used to the idea of killing homotopy groups above some number to form a fibration

$$X[n,\infty) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X(-\infty, n-1].$$

Each of the maps has a suitable universal property embodied in the axioms of a t-structure.

Similarly, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a cofamily of subgroups (i.e., a set of subgroups closed under conjugation and passage to larger subgroups) we may kill homotopy groups of geometric N-fixed points for N in the complement of $\mathcal{C}$ and hence obtain a cofibration

$$X[\mathcal{C}^c] \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X[\mathcal{C}].$$

Notice that the first map is a (non-equivariant) equivalence in Φ^K-fixed points for $K \in \mathcal{C}^c$ and the second map is a (non-equivariant) equivalence in Φ^K-fixed points for $K \in \mathcal{C}$. In the standard notation

$$X[\mathcal{C}^c] \simeq X \wedge E(\mathcal{C}^c)_+ \text{ and } X[\mathcal{C}] \simeq X \wedge \tilde{E}(\mathcal{C}^c).$$

The existence of the smash product and the fact that it commutes with geometric fixed points means that the cofibration can be obtained by smashing X with

$$E(\mathcal{C}^c)_+ \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathcal{C}^c).$$

This makes proofs of the results below very straightforward.

Adams's notation for Postnikov systems is routinely extended to

$$X[m,n] = X(-\infty, n][m,\infty) \simeq X[m,\infty)(-\infty, n].$$

It is well understood that this is not functorial, but that the object is well defined up to equivalence.

Similarly for collections of subgroups.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathcal{K}$ is a family of sugroups and $\mathcal{C}$ is a cofamily then there is a weak equivalence*

$$X[\mathcal{C}][\mathcal{K}] \simeq X[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{C}].$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{K}'$ is another family and $\mathcal{C}'$ is another cofamily with $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}' \cap \mathcal{K}'$ then

$$X[\mathcal{C}][\mathcal{K}] \simeq X[\mathcal{C}'][\mathcal{K}']. \quad \square$$

This allows us to define analogues of $X[m,n]$ for $m \leq n$.

Definition 3.2. We say that a collection of subgroups $\mathcal{N}$ has *no gaps* if it is closed under conjugation and if $L, H \in \mathcal{N}$ then if $L \subseteq K \subseteq H$ we have $K \in \mathcal{N}$. If $\mathcal{N}$ has no gaps we write

$$X[\mathcal{N}] := X[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{C}]$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are chosen so that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 3.3. The point of this construction is that

$$\Phi^K(X[\mathcal{N}]) \simeq \begin{cases} \Phi^K X & \text{if } K \in \mathcal{N} \\ * & \text{if } K \not\in \mathcal{N} \end{cases}$$

where the equivalences are non-equivariant. Thus $X[\mathcal{N}]$ is a version of X in which geometric isotropy outside $\mathcal{N}$ has been killed.

Since S^0 is a unit for the smash product, we find the process is smashing in the sense that

$$X[\mathcal{N}] \simeq X \wedge S^0[\mathcal{N}].$$

Example 3.4. We now have new names for a number of familiar objects which clarify their roles. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a family, $\mathcal{C}$ a cofamily and N a normal subgroup, we have

$$S^0[\mathcal{K}] = E\mathcal{K}_+, S^0[\mathcal{C}] = \tilde{E}\mathcal{C}^c, S^0[N] = E\langle N \rangle.$$

3.B. **Geometric fixed points.** We say that X *lies over* N if every subgroup in $\mathcal{GI}(X)$ contains N. It is obvious for spaces X that the inclusion $X^N \longrightarrow X$ induces an equivalence $X^N[\supseteq N] \simeq X[\supseteq N]$ and with a little care there is a generalization to the case when X is a spectrum.

The relationship between categorical fixed points and geometric points is decribed in [18, III.9]. It follows from the geometric fixed point Whitehead Theorem that X lies over N if and only if

$$X \simeq X[\supseteq N],$$

that for any X

$$X[\supseteq N] \simeq (\mathrm{inf}\Phi^N X)[\supseteq N],$$

and that if Y lies over N then

$$[X, Y]^G \cong [\Phi^N X, \Phi^N Y]^{G/N}.$$

4. MODELS OF SPECTRA OVER N

Some of the results in this section are well-known, and we record them here partly to prepare the way for their module counterparts in Section 8.

4.A. **Models via localization and cellularization.** There are a number of models for the homotopy category of spectra over N, but we content ourselves with describing three and recalling that they are equivalent.

We suppose given a model category of G-spectra. Next we choose a G-space $S^0[\supseteq N]$, and without change in notation we take a bifibrant replacement of its suspension spectrum. We consider the class Φ^N-equiv of morphisms $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ so that $S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge f$ is an equivalence; these are called *equivalences over N*.

Construction 4.1. [20, IV.6] We form the left Bousfield localization

$$G\text{-spectra}/N := L_{\Phi^N\text{-equiv}} G\text{-spectra}.$$

The weak equivalences are the equivalences over N and the cofibrations are unchanged.

The inflation functor and its right adjoint, the Lewis-May fixed point functor are familiar in this context, but will be reviewed in Section 5.A to prepare for the module theoretic counterpart.

Proposition 4.2. [20, VI.5.3] *The Quillen adjunction*

$$LM^N : G\text{-}\mathit{spectra} \rightleftarrows G/N\text{-}\mathit{spectra} : \inf$$

induces a Quillen equivalence

$$G\text{-}\mathit{spectra}/N \simeq G/N\text{-}\mathit{spectra}. \quad \square$$

A second model is obtained by cellularizing with respect to $\{S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge G/H_+\}_H$.

Construction 4.3. We form the cellularization

$$G\text{-spectra}//\ N := S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-}G\text{-cell-}G\text{-spectra},$$

where "K-G-cell" means cellurization with respect to $\{K \wedge G/H_+\}$ for all subgroups H. Its weak equivalences are $S^0[\supseteq N]$-equivalences (i.e., the maps f so that $F(S^0[\supseteq N], f)$ are equivalences of G-spectra) and its fibrations are unchanged.

Proposition 4.4. *The Quillen adjunction*

$$1 : L_{\Phi^N\text{-}\mathit{equiv}} G\text{-}\mathit{spectra} \rightleftarrows S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-}G\text{-}\mathit{cell}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathit{spectra} : 1$$

induces a Quillen equivalence

$$G\text{-}\mathit{spectra}/N \simeq G\text{-}\mathit{spectra}//\ N. \quad \square$$

Proof: The identity functors

$$1 : S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-}G\text{-cell-}G\text{-spectra} \to G\text{-spectra}$$

and

$$1 : G\text{-spectra} \to L_{\Phi^N\text{-equiv}} G\text{-spectra}$$

are left Quillen functors by the universal properties of localization and cellularization. Accordingly, the composite identity functor gives a Quillen adjunction. Now the $S^0[\supseteq N]$-G-cellular category is generated by $S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge G/H_+$ with $H \supseteq N$, and in that category they are homotopically small. By the cellularization principle, it suffices to observe these objects generate on the left. But $S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge G/H_+$ is weakly equivalent to G/H_+ for any H and if H does not contain N then $S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge G/H_+$ is acyclic. $\square$

4.B. **Models via modules.** There is a tempting approach to the category of spectra over N by viewing them as modules over a ring. However, there is some need for caution.

First note that $S^0[\supseteq N]$ is a ring spectrum up to homotopy. A G-spectrum is an $S^0[\supseteq N]$-module up to homotopy if and only if it lies over N in the sense that it is K-contractible if $K \not\supseteq N$. Similarly, any map of G-spectra over N is compatible up to homotopy with the homotopy multiplication of $S^0[\supseteq N]$. Thus the category of $S^0[\supseteq N]$-modules looks like another model for the category of G-spectra over N. This homotopy category of this category of modules is not obviously triangulated.

However we can tighten up the structure. To begin, construct $S^0[\supseteq N]$ as a localization of the ring spectrum S^0. Accordingly, it admits the structure of an associative ring G-spectrum [5] and we may consider its category of modules. Restriction of scalars along the map of ring spectra $l : S^0 \longrightarrow S^0[\supseteq N]$ has left adjoint the extension of scalars functor

$$l_*(M) = S^0[\supseteq N] \otimes_{S^0} M = S^0[\supseteq N] \wedge M.$$

Together these give a Quillen adjunction

$$l_* : S^0\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \rightleftarrows S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} : l^*$$

of module categories. Cellularizing with respect to $S^0[\supseteq N]$ is a right Quillen functor, and the category of $S^0[\supseteq N]$-modules is already $S^0[\supseteq N]$-cellular, so composing with l^* we see that we obtain a Quillen equivalence

$$S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq G\text{-spectra}//N.$$

Similarly, restriction of scalars along the map of ring spectra $l : S^0 \longrightarrow S^0[\supseteq N]$ has right adjoint the coextension of scalars functor

$$l_!(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{S^0}(S^0[\supseteq N], M) = F(S^0[\supseteq N], M).$$

Together these give a Quillen adjunction

$$l^* : S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \rightleftarrows S^0\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} : l_!$$

of module categories. Localizing at equivalences over N is a left Quillen functor and the category of $S^0[\supseteq N]$-modules is already local, so composing with $l^!$ we see that we obtain a Quillen equivalence

$$S^0[\supseteq N]\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq G\text{-spectra}/N.$$

The disappointment is that although $S^0[\supseteq N]$ is a commutative ring up to homotopy, no model for it is a strictly commutative ring for reasons described by [19] (or because it is incompatible with the existence of multiplicative norm maps. These phenomena are studied systematically in [16]).

5. FIXED POINT ADJUNCTIONS FOR MODULE CATEGORIES

We need to discuss passage to fixed points for modules, and it is convenient to collect together some generalities. Thus we suppose given a ring G-spectrum R, and we note that since Lewis-May fixed points are lax monoidal [20], R^N is a ring G/N-spectrum. Our main focus is on the passage between R-module G-spectra and R^N-module G/N-spectra, and we begin by explaining this in more detail.

5.A. **Inflation and fixed points.** First, we write $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/N$ for the projection. Next, we suppose the G-spectra are indexed on the complete G-universe $\mathcal{U}$, and we use $\mathcal{U}^N$ as our complete G/N-universe, writing $i : \mathcal{U}^N \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ for the inclusion, so that we have an adjoint pair $i_* \vdash i^*$ of change of universe functors. The basic change of group functor is inflation,

$$\mathrm{inf} = \mathrm{inf}_{G/N}^{G} : G/N\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U}^N \longrightarrow G\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U}$$

defined by

$$\mathrm{inf} Y = i_* \pi^* Y.$$

This is left adjoint to the Lewis-May fixed point functor

$$LM^N : G\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow G/N\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U}^N$$

defined by

$$LM^N(X) = (i^* X)^N,$$

where $(\cdot)^N$ denotes termwise fixed points. Inflation and Lewis-May fixed points form a Quillen pair by [20, V.3.10].

It is usual to write X^N for the Lewis-May categorical fixed points, leaving the context to imply the restriction to an N-fixed universe, and we shall generally follow this convention.

5.B. **Inflation and fixed points for modules.** Since passage to N-fixed points is lax monoidal, the N-fixed point spectrum R^N of a ring G-spectrum R is a ring G/N-spectrum and the N-fixed point spectrum of an R-module is an R^N-module. Accordingly, we have a functor

$$\Psi^N : R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra},$$

where $\Psi^N M$ is M^N viewed as an R^N-module. The functor Ψ^N is also right adjoint. We will explain how to view the left adjoint as a composite of inflation and an extension of scalars. The purpose of the notation Ψ^N is to emphasize that we have not only taken N-fixed points, but also changed the ring over which we take modules. We continue to write X^N for the underlying N-fixed point functor.

First, we have explained that passage to Lewis-May N-fixed points is right adjoint to inflation. This lifts to an adjunction

$$\begin{tikzcd} LM^N : \mathrm{inf} R^N\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U} \arrow[r, shift right] & R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U}^N : \mathrm{inf} \arrow[l, shift right] \end{tikzcd}.$$

To explain, since inf is strong monoidal, $\mathrm{inf}\, R^N$ is a ring, and inflation takes R^N-modules to $\mathrm{inf} R^N$-modules. On the other hand, since $(\cdot)^N$ is lax monoidal, it takes $\mathrm{inf} R^N$-modules to $(\mathrm{inf} R^N)^N$-modules, which are then regarded as R^N-modules, by restriction along the unit map

$$\eta : R^N \longrightarrow (\mathrm{inf} R^N)^N.$$

Since the Lewis-May fixed points functor is a right Quillen functor on the underlying categories of orthogonal spectra by [20, V.3.10], this is a Quillen adjunction on the module categories by [20, III.7.6 (i)].

Second, inclusion of subspectra gives a ring map

$$\pi^* R^N \longrightarrow i^* R,$$

which corresponds to the counit

$$\theta : \mathrm{inf} R^N \longrightarrow R.$$

We therefore have by [20, III.7.6 (vi)] an associated Quillen adjunction for restriction and extension of scalars:

$$\theta_* : \mathrm{inf} R^N\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U} \rightleftarrows R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra}/\mathcal{U} : \theta^* \ .$$

We summarize the discussion.

Proposition 5.1. *There is a Quillen adjunction*

$$\Psi^N : R\text{-}\mathit{mod}\text{-}G\text{-}\mathit{spectra} \leftrightarrows R^N\text{-}\mathit{mod}\text{-}G/N\text{-}\mathit{spectra} : R \otimes_{\mathrm{inf} R^N} \mathrm{inf}(\cdot) \ ,$$

where $\Psi^N(M) = M^N$ *viewed as an* R^N*-module.* □

5.C. **Towards Quillen equivalences.** We would like to compare the category of module G-spectra over R to the category of module G/N-spectra over R^N. The Quillen adjunction will generally not be a Quillen equivalence, but using the Cellularization Principle 2.1 we will obtain some useful results.

In somewhat simplified notation, consider the unit and counit of the derived adjunction

$$\eta : Y \longrightarrow (R \otimes_{\mathrm{inf} R^N} \mathrm{inf} Y)^N \text{ and } \epsilon : R \otimes_{\mathrm{inf} R^N} (\mathrm{inf} X^N) \longrightarrow X.$$

We see that η is an equivalence for $Y = R^N$ and that ϵ is an equivalence for $X = R$, so that we always have an equivalence

$$R\text{-cell-}R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq R^N\text{-cell-}R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra}.$$

If G is trivial, R generates the category of R-modules, but if G is not trivial, R is not usually a generator of the category of equivariant R-modules since we also need the modules of the form $R \wedge G/H_+$ for proper subgroups H. Similar remarks apply to R^N-modules when G/N is non-trivial. If R *does* build every R-module, we refer to the module category as *monogenic.* There are surprisingly many examples of monogenic equivariant module categories, and in practice the process of building the modules $R \wedge G/H_+$ is of a simple form.

Definition 5.2. (i) Two G-spectra X and Y are *R-equivalent* ($X \sim_R Y$) if there is an equivalence $R \wedge X \simeq R \wedge Y$ of R-modules.

(ii) A G-spectrum X is an *R-retract* of Y if $R \wedge X$ is a retract of $R \wedge Y$ as R-modules.

(iii) A collection $\mathcal{C}$ of G-spectra is *closed under R-triangles* if whenever there is a cofibre sequence $R \wedge X \longrightarrow R \wedge Y \longrightarrow R \wedge Z$ of R-modules then if two of X, Y and Z lie in $\mathcal{C}$, so does the third.

(iii) A class $\mathcal{C}$ of G-spectra is *R-thick* if it is closed under R-equivalence, R-retracts and completing R-triangles. We say that X *finitely R-builds* Y ($X \models_R Y$) if Y is in the R-thick subcategory generated by X.

(iv) A class $\mathcal{C}$ of G-spectra is *R-localizing* if it is R-thick and closed under arbitrary coproducts. We say that X *R-builds* Y ($X \vdash_R Y$) if Y is in the R-localizing subcategory generated by X.

(v) We say that the category of R-modules is *strongly monogenic* if the R-localizing subcategory generated by S^0 is the entire category of G-spectra.

We note that to show the category of R-modules is stongly monogenic, we need only show that G/H_+ is R-built by S^0 for all subgroups H. The following immediate result explains the purpose of the definitions.

Proposition 5.3. *If R is strongly monogenic, then R-cellularization has no effect on R-mod-G-spectra, and we have a Quillen equivalence*

$$R\text{-cell-}R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra}.$$

Combining this with the fixed point R-module Quillen adjunction we obtain a statement we will use repeatedly.

Corollary 5.4. *If R is strongly monogenic we have*

$$R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq R^N\text{-cell-}R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra},$$

and if in addition R^N is strongly monogenic (for example if $N = G$), then

$$R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra}.$$

5.D. **Strongly monogenic examples.** Perhaps the first important example of R-equivalence is given by Thom isomorphsims: if R is complex orientable then for any complex representation V, we have $R \wedge S^V \simeq R \wedge S^{|V|}$, and so $S^V \sim_R S^{|V|}$, where $|V|$ denotes the underlying vector space of V with trivial G-action.

Lemma 5.5. *If G is a torus and R is complex stable then the category of R-modules is strongly monogenic.*

Proof: It suffices to show S^0 R-builds all spectra G/H_+.

The proof is built from one cofibre sequence for the circle group and the Thom isomorphism for R. Suppose first that $\alpha : G \longrightarrow U(1)$ is a non-trivial representation, and write $\overline{G} = G/K$ where $K = \ker(\alpha)$ for the relevant circle quotient, noting that there is an equivariant homeomorphism $S(\alpha) = \overline{G}$. The important cofibre sequence is

$$\overline{G}_+ = S(\alpha)_+ \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow S^\alpha.$$

The Thom isomorphism shows $S^\alpha \sim_R S^2$, and the cofibre sequence shows $\overline{G}_+$ is in the R-thick subcategory generated by S^0.

The subgroups occurring as kernels K as in the previous paragraph are precisely those of the form $K = H \times C$ where H is a rank $r-1$ torus and C is a finite cyclic group. Finally we note that for an arbitrary subgroup L we have

$$G/L = G/K_1 \times G/K_2 \times \cdots \times G/K_r$$

for suitable K_i occurring as kernels.

Using the above argument up to r times we see G/L_+ is in the R-thick subcategory generated by S^0. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *If G is a 2-group and R has Thom isomorphisms for all real representations then the category of R-modules is strongly monogenic.*

Proof: The proof is built from a cofibre sequence for the group of order 2 and the Thom isomorphism for R. Suppose first that $\xi : G \longrightarrow O(1)$ is a non-trivial representation. Write $\overline{G} = G/K$ where $K = \ker(\xi)$ for the quotient of order 2 and note that there is an equivariant homeomorphism $S(\xi) = \overline{G}$. The important cofibre sequence is

$$\overline{G}_+ = S(\xi)_+ \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow S^\xi,$$

The Thom isomorphism shows $S^\xi \sim_R S^1$, and the first cofibre sequence shows $\overline{G}_+$ is in the R-thick subcategory generated by S^0. This shows $\overline{G}_+$ is in the R-thick category generated by S^0. This completes the proof if G is of order 2, since in that case we have $G = \overline{G}$.

For the general case we argue by induction on the order of subgroup K in G, that if we work K-equivariantly, $R|_K$ is strongly monogenic. Now choose a proper subgroup L and consider R-building G/L_+. We pick a maximal subgroup K containing L, so that $G/L_+ \simeq G_+ \wedge_K K/L_+$. By induction K/L_+ is K-equivariantly R-built from S^0, and so G/L_+ is G-equivariantly R-built from G/K_+. The first part of the proof showed that G/K_+ is G-equivariantly built from S^0. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *If G is a p-group, R is complex stable, and $N_G(H)$ acts trivially on R^H_* for all subgroups H then the category of R-modules is strongly monogenic.*

Proof: The proof is built from two cofibre sequences for the group of order p and the Thom isomorphism for R. Suppose first that $\alpha : G \longrightarrow U(1)$ is a non-trivial representation. Write $\overline{G} = G/K$ where $K = \ker(\alpha)$ for the quotient of order p. The first important cofibre sequence is

$$S(\alpha)_+ \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow S^\alpha,$$

and the second is the stable cofibre sequence

$$\overline{G}_+ \xrightarrow{1-g} \overline{G}_+ \longrightarrow S(\alpha)_+,$$

where g is a suitable generator of $\overline{G}$. The Thom isomorphism shows $S^\alpha \sim_R S^2$, and the first cofibre sequence shows $S(\alpha)_+$ is in the R-thick subcategory generated by S^0. Now since $\overline{G}$ acts trivially on R^K_*, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R^K_* \longrightarrow R^G_*(S(\alpha)_+) \longrightarrow \Sigma R^K_* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the quotient is free over R^K_*, there is a splitting map $\Sigma R \wedge G/K_+ \longrightarrow R \wedge S(\alpha)_+$ of R-modules, and

$$R \wedge S(\alpha)_+ \simeq R \wedge \overline{G}_+ \vee \Sigma R \wedge \overline{G}_+$$

This shows $\overline{G}_+$ is in the R-thick category.

For the general case we argue by induction on the order of subgroup K in G, that if we work K-equivariantly, $R|_K$ is strongly monogenic. Now choose a proper subgroup L and consider R-building G/L_+. We pick a maximal subgroup K containing L, so that $G/L_+ \simeq G_+ \wedge_K K/L_+$. By induction K/L_+ is K-equivariantly R-built from S^0, and so G/L_+ is G-equivariantly R-built from G/K_+. The first part of the proof showed that G/K_+ is G-equivariantly built from S^0. $\square$

Remark 5.8. The action condition can be weakened somewhat, first since it is only necessary for elements of order p in $W_G(K)$ to act trivially, and secondly we need only require $1-g$ to act nilpotently.

6. EILENBERG-MACLANE SPECTRA

It is instructive to begin our consideration of special cases with ordinary cohomology, for which we need to recall some terminology. It is worth bearing in mind that for finite groups and rational coefficients this is the general case.

6.A. **Mackey functors.** We recall that a G-Mackey functor is a contravariant additive functor $M : \mathcal{SO}_G \longrightarrow \mathbf{AbGp}$ on the stable orbit category. For brevity, we write $M(H)$ for the value on G/H_+. When G is finite, this is equivalent to the classical definition of a collection of abelian groups $M(H)$ related by restrictions and transfers satisfying the Mackey formula [4].

Evidently any G-equivariant cohomology theory F gives rise to a graded Mackey functor $\underline{F}^*_G$ whose value at H is F^*_H. Equivalently the homotopy groups of F give a Mackey functor $\underline{\pi}^G_*(F) = [\cdot, F]^G_*$ whose value at H is $\pi^H_*(F) = [G/H_+, F]^G_*$. A cohomology theory whose value on all homogeneous spaces is concentrated in degree 0 is called *ordinary* or *Bredon.* For any Mackey functor M, up to equivalence there is a unique cohomology theory with coefficient functor M, and we write HM for the representing G-spectrum. Thus

$$H^*_G(X; M) = HM^*_G(X) = [X, HM]^*_G.$$

The category of G-Mackey functors has a symmetric monoidal product which can be swiftly defined by

$$M \Box N = \underline{\pi}^G_0(HM \wedge HN),$$

but this can be made categorically explicit using the Day coend construction [3].

A monoid in the category of Mackey functors is called a *Green functor.* If $\mathbb{R}$ is a Green functor $H\mathbb{R}$ is a ring spectrum, and if the Green functor is commutative, the ring spectrum can also be taken to be commutative. A prime example is the representable Mackey functor $\mathbb{A}_T$ where T is a disjoint union of orbits, with $\mathbb{A}_T(H) = [G/H_+, T_+]^G_0$. In particular the Burnside functor itself is the case when T is a point and has $\mathbb{A} = \underline{\pi}^G_0(S^0)$, so that $\mathbb{A}(H)$ is the Burnside ring $A(H)$ of virtual H-sets.

6.B. **Modules over an Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum.** We are now ready to discuss $R = H\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is a Green functor, and we take $N = G$ so we can concentrate on the new features. In this case the fixed point spectrum is also an Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $R^G = (H\mathbb{R})^G = H(\mathbb{R}(G))$. For any connective non-equivariant spectrum X we have $\underline{\pi}^G_0(\mathrm{inf}X) = \pi_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{A}$, where $\mathbb{A}$ is the Burnside functor, and

$$[\mathrm{inf}X, H\mathbb{R}]^G = H\mathbb{R}^0_G(\mathrm{inf}X) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_0(X), \mathbb{R}(G)),$$

so the structure map

$$\mathrm{inf}R^G = \mathrm{inf}H\mathbb{R}(G) \longrightarrow H\mathbb{R} = R$$

is determined up to homotopy by being the scalar extension of the identity map in π^G_0. Thus we are considering the adjunction

$$\Psi^G : H\mathbb{R}\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \rightleftarrows H\mathbb{R}(G)\text{-mod-spectra} : H\mathbb{R} \otimes_{H\mathbb{R}(G)} (\cdot)$$

Note that if G is finite $H\mathbb{R}^K_*(Y_+)$ is concentrated in degree 0 for any subgroup K and any finite K-set Y. Accordingly, $H\mathbb{R}$-module maps between the generators $G/H_+ \wedge H\mathbb{R}$ of the category of $H\mathbb{R}$-modules are concentrated in degree 0 and Morita theory shows that we have a purely algebraic description of the categories concerned.

$$H\mathbb{R}\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq \mathbb{R}\text{-mod-}G\text{-Mackey}.$$

Thus we are considering

$$ev_G : \mathbb{R}\text{-mod-}G\text{-Mackey} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{R}(G)\text{-mod-Abgrps} : \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}(G)} (\cdot)\ .$$

This is a Green ring analogue of one of the adjoints of evaluation [10], and it would be interesting to give a more systematic account of the case $N \neq G$.

Remark 6.1. An instructive *non-example* is to take the ring $R = \mathbb{Q}[G]$, so that $R^N = \mathbb{Q}[G/N]$. The point here is that with the implied action of G on R by left translation the multiplication on R is *not* G-equivariant, and the unit map is *not* G-equivariant.

The alternative to this is to consider the conjugation action on G, and then we obtain a genuine equivariant ring. Of course this applies equally to the group ring $R = k[G^c] = k \wedge G^c_+$ for any commutative ring spectrum k.

7. The sphere spectrum

Perhaps the first naturally occurring example has $R = S^0$ and $N = G$. We first observe that by Segal-tom Dieck splitting we have

$$R^G = (S^0)^G \simeq \bigvee_{(H)} BW_G(H)^{L(H)},$$

where $L(H)$ is the representation on the tangent space to the identity coset of G/H. This is rather a complicated spectrum, and utterly different from the sphere.

7.A. **The finite rational case.**

When G is finite, and we work rationally, the sphere is the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum for the rational Burnside functor $\mathbb{A}$: $S^0 \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} H\mathbb{A}$, and this is a special case of Example 6.B. In particular R^G (which is a product of rational spheres) is more naturally described as the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum for the rationalization of the Burnside ring $A(G) = \pi^G_0(S^0) = \pi_0((S^0)^G)$.

For S^0-module G-spectra, the rational Burnside ring has another role. Using its idempotents, the rational equivariant sphere $S^0\mathbb{Q}$ also splits into pieces $e_H S^0\mathbb{Q}$ also corresponding to conjugacy classes of subgroups. Even in the rational case the Quillen adjunction is far from a Quillen equivalence. Indeed, we see that the factors corresponding to H on the two sides are usually inequivalent. Under the equivalence [11]

$$S^0\mathbb{Q}\text{-module-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq \prod_{(H)} \mathbb{Q}W_G(H)\text{-mod},$$

the category of G-equivariant $e_H S^0\mathbb{Q}$-modules is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{Q}W_G(H)$-modules. On the other hand, the category of non-equivariant $S^0\mathbb{Q}$-modules is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{Q}$-modules. If $W_G(H)$ is non-trivial, there is more than one simple $\mathbb{Q}W_G(H)$-module, so the two factors are inequivalent for most subgroups. In short we are considering an adjunction

$$R : \prod_{(H)} \mathbb{Q}W_G(H)\text{-mod} \rightleftarrows \prod_{(H)} \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod} : L \ .$$

Probably the simplest way to describe what happens is to pick out a single factor. The general theory applies by taking the special case $R = e_H S^0\mathbb{Q}$. From Example 6.B we see that the functor

$$\Psi^G : e_H S^0\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \longrightarrow S^0\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod-spectra}$$

on this factor is simply passage to fixed points on modules. In this case the Cellularization Principle gives the well known conclusion that the category of $\mathbb{Q}W_G(H)$-modules with trivial action is the cellularization of the category of all modules with respect to $\mathbb{Q}$.

7.B. **Another rational case.** When G is the circle group, the ring $\pi^G_*(S^0)$ has trivial multiplication, so most information is captured in non-zero homological degree. In any case, one does not get a Quillen equivalence either (by connectivity the counit is not an equivalence for a free cell G_+). To get a Quillen equivalence with a category of modules over a non-equivariant ring spectrum it is more effective to use a Koszul dual approach as in Example 9.2 below, and as given in detail in [8, 12].

8. MODELS OF CATEGORIES OF MODULES OVER N

A very satisfying class of examples is a generalization of the basic property of geometric fixed point spectra. This takes the results in Section 4 into the context of modules over a ring spectrum.

This situation needs a careful introduction because of a number of potential sources of confusion. To start we consider an arbitrary ring G-spectrum R and introduce the notation

- $\mathbb{C}_0 = R$-module-G-spectra

Now we may consider two models of R-module G-spectra over N, just as for the sphere in Section 4.

- $\mathbb{C}_1 = L_{\Phi^N}(R$-module-$G$-spectra$)$
- $\mathbb{C}_2 = R[\supseteq N]$-$G$-cell-$R$-module-$G$-spectra

Now the G/N-spectrum $\overline{R} = \Phi^N R$ is the N-fixed points of the associative ring spectrum $R' = R \wedge S^0[\supseteq N]$, and hence it is an associative ring with a module category

- $\mathbb{C}_3$=$\Phi^N R$-module-G/N-spectra

If $\overline{R}$ admits the structure of a commutative ring, then the module category admits a symmetric monoidal tensor product. Note also that there is a map $R \longrightarrow R'$ of associative ring spectra. If R is concentrated over N then this is a weak equivalence, and the module categories are Quillen equivalent.

There are two ways we can manufacture this sort of context. First, we may start with a ring G/N-spectrum $\overline{R}$ and then define $R = \mathrm{inf}\overline{R} \wedge S^0[\supseteq N]$, noting that $R \simeq R'$ in this case (and that R need not be strictly commutative). Second, we can assume that R is a ring G-spectrum over N, and then we obtain a ring G/N-spectrum $R^N \simeq (R')^N \simeq \Phi^N R$ which is strictly commutative if R is, and $R \simeq \mathrm{inf}\Phi^N R \wedge S^0[\supseteq N]$.

Theorem 8.1. *If R is a ring G-spectrum then the model categories $\mathbb{C}_1$ and $\mathbb{C}_2$ are Quillen equivalent. If R is concentrated over N then the Quillen adjunction of Proposition 5.1 is a Quillen equivalence*

$$\mathbb{C}_0 = R\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq R^N\text{-mod-}G/N\text{-spectra} \simeq \mathbb{C}_3$$

and these are also Quillen equivalent to $\mathbb{C}_1$ and $\mathbb{C}_2$.

Remark 8.2. (i) If X is an R-module, then X is a retract of $R \wedge X$, so that if R lies over N so too do its modules.

(ii) If X lies over N then $X^N \simeq \Phi^N X$. Accordingly $R^N \simeq \Phi^N R$ and Lewis-May fixed points may be replaced by geometric fixed points throughout.

Proof: The proof of the first statement is the same as that of Proposition 4.4. The second statement is the adjunction in Proposition 5.1. Indicating the image of a subgroup in G/N by a bar, we need only remark that the extensions of the cells $R^N \wedge \overline{G}/\overline{H}_+$ which generate the category of R^N-module $\overline{G}$-spectra generate R-module G-spectra. This is because the cells $G/H_+ \wedge R$ with H not containing N are contractible.

Finally we need to show that the two pairs of Quillen equivalent models are themselves Quillen equivalent. However, since R is concentrated over N, $R \simeq R \wedge S^0[\supseteq N]$ so that weak equivalences coincide with weak equivalences over N. This means that the $S^0[\supseteq N]$-Bousfield localization is a Quillen equivalence. □

9. Eilenberg-Moore examples

An example of rather a different character is given by taking a G/N-equivariant ring spectrum $\overline{R}$ and an N-free G-space E and then taking $R = F(E_+, \mathrm{inf}\overline{R})$, so that $R^N = F(E/N_+, \overline{R})$.

We shall concentrate on $N = G$ with $E = EG$. One case of special interest is $\overline{R} = Hk$ for a commutative ring k, but we also comment on $\overline{R} = S^0$. These are worth separate cases of their own.

Example 9.1. Turning to the first example of the above type we take $N = G$ and

$$R = C^*(EG; k) = F(EG_+, \mathrm{inf}Hk) \simeq F(EG_+, H\underline{k}) \text{ and } R^G = C^*(BG; k) = F(BG_+, Hk)$$

where $\underline{k}$ is the Mackey functor constant at k. It is natural to refer to this as the Eilenberg-Moore example, and we usually do not display the coefficients k explicitly.

Here we have

$$\Psi^G : C^*(EG)\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \rightleftarrows C^*(BG)\text{-mod-spectra} : C^*(EG) \otimes_{C^*(BG)} (\cdot) \ .$$

Because of existing machinery we won't use the methods of Subsection 5.D, but instead discuss directly for which G-spaces X the unit of the adjunction is an equivalence for the $C^*(EG)$-module $C^*(EG \times X)$. Since $C^*(EG \times X) \simeq C^*(EG) \wedge D(X_+)$ when X is a finite complex, modules of the special form do give generators. Note that if Y is a free G-space (such as $EG \times X$), we have $C^*(Y)^G = C^*(Y/G)$.

Now if G is connected or if $\pi_0 G$ is a p-group and $p^N = 0$ on k then the convergence of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence shows $k \otimes_{C^*(BG)} C^*(Y/G) \simeq C^*(Y)$ for any free G-space

Y. It follows that in these circumstances we have a Quillen-equivalence

$$C^*(EG)\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq C^*(BG)\text{-mod-spectra}.$$

On the other hand we note that if G is finite and we take rational coefficients the left hand side is

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq \mathbb{Q}G\text{-mod},$$

and the right hand side

$$\mathbb{Q}\text{-mod-spectra} \simeq \mathbb{Q}\text{-mod}.$$

Certainly the category of $\mathbb{Q}G$-modules is not equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{Q}$-modules unless G is trivial.

Example 9.2. Turning to the second example of the above type we have $R = DEG_+ = F(EG_+, S^0)$ with $N = G$ and $R^G = DBG_+ = F(BG_+, S^0)$. Here we have

$$\Psi^G : DEG_+\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \rightleftarrows DBG_+\text{-mod-spectra} : DEG_+ \otimes_{DBG_+} (\cdot)\ .$$

Again this is sometimes a Quillen equivalence and sometimes not. If we have rational coefficients, then $S^0 \longrightarrow H\mathbb{Q}$ is a non-equviariant equivalence, so we are back with Example 9.1, where we know it is a Quillen equivalence if G is a torus, but not if G is a non-trivial finite group.

Carlsson's theorem [2] implies [6, 9] that when X is a finite complex, $D(EG_+ \wedge X) \simeq (DX)^\wedge_I$ where I is the augmentation ideal of the Burnside ring. In particular, if G is a p group and we take coefficients in the p-adic sphere we have

$$R = F(EG_+, (S^0)^\wedge_p) \simeq ((S^0)^\wedge_p)^\wedge_I \simeq (S^0)^\wedge_p$$

since some power of I lies in the ideal (p). Accordingly this puts us back in the situation of Section 7.

Note that since rationalization passes through tensor products, we have

$$(R \otimes_{R^G} M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq (R\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{(R\mathbb{Q})^G} M\mathbb{Q}.$$

From our analysis of the rational case in Section 6 we see that we do not obtain a Quillen equivalence when $R = F(EG_+, (S^0)^\wedge_p)$.

10. THE RING SPECTRUM $DE\mathcal{F}_+$

In this section we work rationally, and consider the special case when G is a torus.

One example of importance in the study of rational spectra is the counterpart of the Eilenberg-Moore example for almost free spaces (i.e., spaces whose isotropy groups are all finite). Thus we let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the family of finite subgroups of G, and we recall the splitting theorem from [7]:

$$E\mathcal{F}_+ \simeq \prod_{(F)} E\langle F\rangle,$$

where the product is over conjugacy classes of finite subgroups F.

Taking rational duals, we find

$$R = DE\mathcal{F}_+ \simeq \prod_{(F)} DE\langle F\rangle \text{ and } R^G = D(E\mathcal{F}_+)^G \simeq \prod_{F\in\mathcal{F}} D(BW_G(F)_+).$$

Accordingly, this is really a question of assembling the information from the different finite subgroups. Since G is abelian we have $E\langle H\rangle = EG/H_+ \wedge S^0[\supseteq H]$, so the difference between EG/H_+ and $E\langle H\rangle$ is easy to describe. When H is also finite and coefficients are rational, this simplifies further.

Lemma 10.1. *With $R = DE\mathcal{F}_+$, provided G is a torus and we use rational coefficients, the spheres of complex representations are R-built from S^0.*

Proof: We shall show that for any complex representation V the suspension $S^V \wedge DE\mathcal{F}_+$ is a finite wedge of retracts of integer suspensions of $DE\mathcal{F}_+$.

Since $S^V \wedge DE\mathcal{F}_+ \simeq D(S^{-V} \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+)$, it suffices to deal with the undualized form.

Now suppose given a complex representation V. Since we are working over the rationals, the classical Thom isomorphism gives an equivalence

$$S^V \wedge E\langle F\rangle \simeq S^{|V^F|} \wedge E\langle F\rangle$$

for each finite subgroup F.

Finally, we divide the finite subgroups into sets according to $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^F)$. Of course there are only finitely many of these, and we may apply the corresponding orthogonal idempotents to consider the sets separately. For the class of F with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V^F) = k$ we have

$$\prod_F (D(E\langle F\rangle \wedge S^V \wedge X)^G) \simeq \Sigma^{-k} \prod_F (D(E\langle F\rangle \wedge X)^G),$$

so both sides of the equation are simply desuspended by k. □

Lemma 10.2. *With $R = DE\mathcal{F}_+$, G a torus and rational coefficients we have an equivalence*

$$DE\mathcal{F}_+\text{-mod-}G\text{-spectra} \simeq \prod_F C^*(BG/F)\text{-mod-spectra}. \quad \square$$

Remark 10.3. We note that we can apply idempotents to take the factor with $F = 1$ and recover the Eilenberg-Moore example. Note that for any X we have $[D(EG_+ \wedge X)]^G \simeq D(EG_+ \wedge_G X)$ and we need to verify

$$DEG_+ \otimes_{DBG_+} D(EG_+ \wedge_G X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D(EG_+ \wedge X)$$

for the based finite complex X. This is just the based counterpart of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence for the fibration

$$Y \longrightarrow EG \times_G Y \longrightarrow EG \times_G * = BG,$$

for the unbased space Y, which converges since G is connected.

REFERENCES

[1] D.Barnes and C.Roitzheim, *Stable left and right Bousfield localisations*, preprint (2012) arXiv:1204.5384.

[2] G.Carlsson *Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture*, Ann. of Math. **120** (1984), no. 2, 189-224.

[3] B. Day, 'On closed categories of functors', *Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar IV* (Ed. S. Mac Lane), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **137** (Springer, Berlin, 1970) 1-38.

[4] A.W.M.Dress *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, Algebraic K-theory, II: "Classical" algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 183-240. Lecture Notes in Math., **342** Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[5] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Nriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May. *Rings, Modules and Algebras in Stable Homotopy Theory*, **47** *Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs.* American Mathematical Society, 1996.
[6] J.P.C.Greenlees *Equivariant functional duals and completions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 163-168.
[7] J.P.C.Greenlees *A rational splitting theorem for the universal space for almost free actions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **28** (1996) 183-189.
[8] J.P.C.Greenlees *Rational S^1-equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Mem. American Math. Soc. **661** (1999) xii + 289 pp
[9] J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P. May *Completions of G-spectra at ideals of the Burnside ring* Proc. Adams Memorial Conference II, Cambridge University Press (1992) 145-178.
[10] J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P. May *Some remarks on the structure of Mackey functors*, Proc. American Math. Soc., **395** (1992) 237-243.
[11] J.P.C.Greenlees and J.P. May *Generalized Tate cohomology*, Memoirs of the American Maths. Soc., **543** (1995) 178pp.
[12] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley *An algebraic model for rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy theory*, preprint (2011), arXiv:1101.2511v3.
[13] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley *An algebraic model for rational torus-equivariant stable homotopy theory*, new version in preparation.
[14] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley *The cellularization principle for Quillen adjunctions*, preprint (2013), arXiv:1301.5583.
[15] J.P.C.Greenlees and B.E.Shipley *An algebraic model for free rational G-spectra*, Preprint (2011) 8pp. arXiv:1101.4818
[16] M.A.Hill and M.J.Hopkins *Equivariant Localizations and Commutative Rings*, in preparation.
[17] P. S. Hirschhorn *Model categories and their localizations* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **99**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xvi+457 pp.
[18] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May, and M. Steinberger (with contributions by J. E. McClure), *Equivariant stable homotopy theory* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1213**, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
[19] J.E.McClure "E_∞-ring structures for Tate spectra." Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 6, 1917-1922.
[20] M. Mandell and J. P. May, *Equivariant Orthogonal Spectra and S-Modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **159** (2002), no. 755, x+108 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, THE HICKS BUILDING, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH. UK.
E-mail address: `j.greenlees@sheffield.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 508 SEO M/C 249, 851 S. MORGAN STREET, CHICAGO, IL, 60607-7045, USA
E-mail address: `bshipley@math.uic.edu`